IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Dated: Nainital the 20th day of October 2003

Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 336 of 2001

Order on the bail application of accused.

CRIMINAL SIDE. **……**

Narendra son of Jagvir

Resident of Balitrisa Kandhala

District Muzaffarnagar. Applicant.

Versus

State of Uttaranchal ……..Opposite party

Arising out of case crime No. 96 of 2000
U/Ss 302, 307, 201, 120-B, 279, 338, 304-A, 427 IPC
P.S. Kotwali,
District Haridwar.

By the Court

Hon'ble Irshad Hussain, J.

Heard Sri Rajendra Singh, learned counsel for the applicant, and learned A.G.A. for State.

Perused the record. The notices were issued suo- muto for cancellation of the bail on the ground of suppression of certain facts when the bail order was obtained. All the witnesses of the facts have been examined in the sessions trial and only formal witnesses are remained to be examined in the sessions trial. There appear to be no apprehension of tampering with the evidence and further I could not find any glaring example of suppression of the facts at the time of passing of bail order. Considering this the notice is discharged.

(Irshad Hussain, J.)

Dated: 20-10-2003
ISB